

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States, Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1350 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.hspb.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 09/822,152 | 03/30/2001 | John Christopher Crandall | 10004840-1 | 6310 |
| 7590 06/16/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| HEWLETT-P | ACKARD COMPANY | | | |
| Intellectual Property Administration | | | | D . DDD |
| P.O. Box 272400 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Fort Collins, C | O 80527-2400 | | | |
| | | | D. TT. MAIL TD. 04/14/200 | , |

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37) | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | 09/822,152 | CRANDALL, JOHN CHRISTOPHER | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Kyle R. Stork | 2178 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | |
| The Ap 41.37. | peal Brief filed on <u>02 November 2005</u> is defective | ve for failure to comply with one c | or more provisions of 37 CFR | | | |
| 1205.0 | id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA | he mailing date of this Notificatio | nte correction (see MPEP n, whichever is longer. | | | |
| 1. 🗌 | The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. | | | | | |
| 2. 🗌 | The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). | | | | | |
| 3. 🗌 | At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). | | | | | |
| 4 . 🛚 | (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). | | | | | |
| 5. 🗌 | The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)) | | | | | |
| 6. 🛛 | The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). | | | | | |
| 7. 🗆 | The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)). | | | | | |
| 8. 🗌 | The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). | | | | | |
| 9. 🗌 | The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)). | | | | | |
| 10.🖂 | Other (including any explanation in support of t | the above items): | | | | |
| | With respect to the argument section, the applicant rejection of claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. 112 under the anot under appeal, and therefore is improper under the With respect to the explanation, the applicant has no means plus function and step plus function by reference. | arguments section. As the applicant of the Arguments heading. Detidentified for each dependnent clai | acknowledges, this rejection is m argued seperately every | | | |

CESAR PAULA PRIMARY EXAMINER